This issue

- P Values vs Magnitude-based Inference: All new slideshow.
- <u>Journal Impact Factors 2017</u>: Values from the Scopus database.

Reprint pdf · Reprint docx

## P Values vs Magnitude-based Inference

Will G Hopkins, Institute of Sport Exercise and Active Living, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia. <a href="Email"><u>Email</u></a>. Reviewer: Alan M Batterham, School of Health and Social Care, University of Teesside, Middlesbrough, UK. Sportscience 21, i, 2017 (sportsci.org/2017/inbrief.htm#pVsMBI). Published May 2017. <a href="@g2017"><u>@2017</u></a>.

**Update Nov 2020**. The <u>ECSS report</u> in the <u>2020 issue</u> explains how statistical significance and non-significance represent misleading evidence for effect magnitudes. I also gave a 10-min talk at the conference on the frequentist and Bayesian theoretical bases for magnitude-based decisions. The video is available on YouTube <u>here</u>. A slides-only pptx version of the talk (including a description of error rates) is available <u>here</u>.

**Update Feb 2020**. The <u>2020 issue</u> contains an <u>article</u> and <u>slideshow</u> on hypothesis tests underlying magnitude-based decisions, and there is an <u>In-brief item</u> describing the recent history of magnitude-based inference and decisions, as well as a shorter, simpler explanation of the hypothesis tests.

**Update Feb 2019**. The attack on magnitude-based inference (MBI) in 2018 is documented in The Vindication of Magnitude-Base Inference and in the post-publications comments, where you will also find a slideshow summarizing the attack and how MBI works. Rebranding MBI as magnitude-based decisions (MBD) is explained in an In-brief item in the 2019 issue.

A <u>slideshow</u> explaining p values, magnitudebased inference (MBI), and the American Statistical Association's <u>policy statement</u> on p values is now available. The slideshow has the title of the In-brief item in last year's Sportscience, <u>P</u> <u>Values Down But Not Yet Out</u>, and it represents an elaboration of that item. The <u>slideshow</u> was presented at the <u>8th International Conference on Kinesiology</u> in Opatija, Croatia, May 10-14, 2017 and at various workshops subsequently.

## Other resources on statistical inference

A one-hour lecture on <u>data analysis and inter-</u> <u>pretation</u> has an earlier summary of null-hypothesis testing and MBI.

The <u>article</u> describing the <u>spreadsheet to derive MBI from a p value</u> has a detailed explanation of clinical and non-clinical MBI. To derive MBI from a confidence interval use the <u>spreadsheet to combine/compare effects</u> (and read the accompanying article).

The first peer-reviewed <u>article on MBI</u> published here and in *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* deals only with non-clinical inference.

The <u>article on progressive statistics</u> published here and in *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* has a summary of MBI and much, much more.

## **Journal Impact Factors 2017**

Will G Hopkins, Institute of Sport Exercise and Active Living, Victoria University, Melbourne, Australia. Email. Sportscience 21, i, 2017 (sportsci.org/2017/inbrief.htm#impactfactors. Published September 2017. ©2017

Download the workbook (28 KB) of impact factors.

As noted in <u>a 2015 article</u>, I have abandoned Thomson-Reuters' impact factors in favor of Elsevier's, which are derived from a bibliographic database (Scopus) more relevant to sport and exercise science, and which are freely avail-

able in a very large workbook (33 MB) at <u>Journal Metrics</u>. Elsevier refers to the impact factor as the *CiteScore*, but it is calculated in the same manner as the traditional impact factor. I have extracted the values for our journals into a user-friendly small <u>workbook</u> (28 KB), which has spreadsheets sorted by journal title and by 2016 impact factor. As of last year I will not be writing

a full article on the impact factors.